

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated July 22, 2004.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings. The claim 3 features have been canceled to overcome the objection.

Further, the Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities. The disclosure has been corrected to overcome the objection.

Furthermore, the Examiner has objected to claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-3 have been canceled and replaced with new claim 4 in order to avoid the rejection(s).

Moreover, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtis et al, fig. 1 in view of Alpert. However, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn in light of the following reasons.

Curtis et al, the first reference cited by the Examiner, discloses a connector body (208, 210), a press plate in the back of the body, an engaging plate at the front of the body and a serial conductor ports at the bottom front end of the body. However, this reference fails to disclose a structure of a communication Internet connector comprising a connector body, a press plate, an engaging plate and a serial conductive port, wherein a pair of pivotal lugs are provided on one side of said connector body, said engaging plate is mounted at one end of said connector body, said press plate has two protruded shafts which are pivotally connected to said pivotal lugs so that said press plate is rotatable about said pivotal lugs, said pivotal lugs are formed with two notches for receiving said protruded shafts, said press plate is an arch-shaped

member having a bottom provided with a contact point to touch a top of said engaging plate, said notches have a size slightly smaller than a diameter of said protruded shafts, and said serial conductive port is mounted at said one end of said connector body. Hence, this reference can be clearly distinguished from the present invention.

Alpert, the second reference cited by the Examiner, discloses a structure of a communication Internet connector comprising a connector body, a press plate, an engaging plate and a serial conductive port, wherein a pair of pivotal lugs are provided on one side of said connector body, said engaging plate is mounted at one end of said connector body, said press plate has two protruded shafts which are pivotally connected to said pivotal lugs so that said press plate is rotatable about said pivotal lugs, said pivotal lugs are formed with two notches for receiving said protruded shafts, said press plate is an arch-shaped member having a bottom provided with a contact point to touch a top of said engaging plate, said notches have a size slightly smaller than a diameter of said protruded shafts, and said serial conductive port is mounted at said one end of said connector body. Thus, this reference is in no way similar to the present invention.

Accordingly, even if the disclosures of the cited references are combined together, the combined disclosure of the cited references still fails to teach each and every element of the claimed invention and so the subject matter sought to be patented as a whole would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claim clearly and distinctly patentably defines over such prior art.

10

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

October 21, 2004